

Universitas Katolik Santo Thomas

Repositori Unika Santo Thomas

<http://eprints.ust.ac.id>

Fakultas Ilmu Budaya (FIB)
Program Studi Sastra Inggris

Undergraduate Papers

Sihaloho, Evila Theresia

2022

A Semantic Analysis of Metaphors: a Case Study in Holy Bible New Testament

<http://eprints.ust.ac.id/id/eprint/351>

Downloaded from Repositori Institusi UST, Universitas Katolik Santo Thomas

**A SEMANTIC ANALYSIS OF METAPHORS: A CASE STUDY IN
HOLY BIBLE NEW TESTAMENT**

A THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Letters
Catholic University of Saint Thomas Medan
in Partial Fulfillment of Requirements for the degree of


SARJANA

In English Language Literature

By

Evila Theresia Sihaloho
Reg. No. 180210023

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT



Faculty of Letters
Catholic University of Saint Thomas
Medan
2022

**A SEMANTIC ANALYSIS OF METAPHORS: A CASE STUDY IN
HOLY BIBLE NEW TESTAMENT**

A THESIS

SARJANA

In English Language and Literature

By

Evila Thresia Sihaloho

Reg. No. 180210023

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT



FACULTY OF LETTERS

CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF SAINT THOMAS

MEDAN

2022

A SEMANTIC ANALYSIS OF METAPHORS: A CASE STUDY IN
HOLY BIBLE NEW TESTAMENT

A THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Letters
Catholic University of Saint Thomas Medan
in Partial Fulfillment of Requirements For the degree of



In English Language Literature

By

Evita Theresia Sihakulu

Reg. No. 100210023

Consultant

Dr. J. P. Somnibus, S.Pd., M.Pd., M. Hum, Ph.D

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT

UNIVERSITAS KATOLIK SANTO THOMAS

UNIVERSITAS KATOLIK SANTO THOMAS
SANTO THOMAS

Faculty of Letters
Catholic University of Saint Thomas
Medan
2022

This thesis was examined by Board of Examiners on October 27th 2022



Drs. B. Gurning, M. Hum.
Chairman



Drs. R. M. Simamora, M. Hum.
Member





Dr. J. P. Situmorang, S.S., M. Hum., Ph.D.
Consultant



Drs. R. M. Simamora, M. Hum.
Dean

UNIVERSITAS KATOLIK SANTO THOMAS

UNIKA SANTO THOMAS



OMNIBUS OMNIA

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This thesis is intended to fulfill one of the requirements for obtaining the Sarjana Degree from the Faculty of Letters of Catholic University of St. Thomas Medan. In writing this thesis, the writer encountered a lot of difficulties. However, with the help of several people, the writer could accomplish them. Therefore, on this occasion, the writer would like to thank those who have helped her in completing this thesis.

First of all, the writer would like to thank God almighty for His blessing. Then, the writer would like to thank her consultant, Drs. J.P. Situmorang, S.S, M.Hum, Ph.D for his generous encouragement and guidance until this thesis comes into its present form. An abounded gratitude is also due to Drs. B. Guring, M.Hum, and Dra. R.M. Simamora, M.Hum, for their valuable criticisms and suggestions in the seminar. A lot of thanks to all lecturers of Faculty of Letters for giving her their knowledge, enlightenment and advices during the academic years. Lots of thanks also go to the staff for their help and administrative support.

The writers thanks go to Marulak Sihaloho and Dormina Ani Sinaga, my beloved parents, and then to Fery Ganda Sihaloho, and Fadil Mario Sihaloho, as my beloved brothers; and Peprianti Sihaloho Amd and Ymeltri Putri Sihaloho, my beloved sister, who always pray for and provide direction, support, guidance, and financial assistance to the writer until the completion of this thesis. Then, the writer is very grateful to all my classmates for their support and suggestions to finish this thesis.

Last but not least, any constructive criticism to improve this thesis will be highly appreciated.



Medan, October 2022

The Writer,


Evila Thresia Sihaloho

Reg. No. 180210023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	i
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	iii
ABSTRACT.....	v
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 The Background of the Study.....	1
1.2 The Problem of the Study.....	4
1.3 The Objectives of the Study.....	4
1.4 The Scope of the Study.....	4
1.5 The Method of the Study.....	5
1.5.1 The Source of the Data.....	6
1.5.2 Technique Collecting the Data.....	6
1.5.3 Technique of Analyzing the Data.....	6
1.5.4 The Organization of the Study.....	6
CHAPTER II THEORITICAL BACKGROUND.....	8
2.1 Metaphor.....	15
2.2 The Kinds of Metaphor.....	20
2.2.1 Anthropomorphic image metaphor.....	20
2.2.2 Metaphor with animal images.....	22

2.2.3 Abstract Metaphor	23
2.2.4 Synesthesia imagery metaphor.	24
CHAPTER III THE ANALYSIS OF DATA	27
3.1 Anthropomorphic Image Metaphor.....	27
3.2 Metaphor with Animal Images.....	30
3.3 Abstract Metaphor.....	33
3.4 Synesthesia Imagery Metaphor	36
CHAPTER IV CONCLUSION.....	39
BIBLIOGRAPHY	40
APPENDIX.....	42



Sihaloho, Evila Thresia. 2022 *A Semantics Analysis of Metaphor: A Case Study in Holy Bible New Testament*. Thesis, Faculty of Letters, Catholic University of saint Thomas, North Sumatra.

ABSTRACT

This thesis discusses “A Semantics Analysis of Metaphor: A Case Study in New Testament”. In this thesis, the author presents data about metaphors and types of metaphors based on semantics analysis. The data source used is the New Testament. In analyzing the data, the first step is to read the New Testament Bible, identify sentences containing metaphors, collect data, analyze based on the type of metaphor, and the last step is to collect data based on the type of metaphor: anthropomorphic metaphor, metaphor with animal images, abstract metaphor and synesthesia metaphor. The theory used in analyzing the data is the theory proposed by Parera. There are 51 data but the author only presents 20 data. After analyzing the data, the writer came to the conclusion that in collecting data by type, there were 10 data containing anthropomorphic metaphors, 13 data containing metaphor with animal images, 22 data containing abstract metaphors, and finally 6 data containing synesthesia metaphors.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bonvillain, N. (2003). *Language, Culture, and Communication the Meaning of Messages, Fourth Edition*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Classe. 2000. *Metaphors, Metaphors Meaning, Metaphors Type, Metaphors Theory*.
- Gibbs, R.W.Jr. (1994). *The Poetics of Mind: Figurative Thought, Language and Understanding*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press..
- Grice. H. P (1957: 67). "Meaning", *Philosophical Review*. Harvard University Press
<https://arts-sciences.buffalo.edu/linguistics/about/what-is-linguistics.html>
<https://www.wikiwand.com/en/Portal:Linguistics>
- Journal of Philosophy, Vol.77, No.8: p.453-486, Retrieved on March 21, 2019 from.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2025464>.
- Leech, G. (1974). *Semantic- A Study of meaning*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lyons, J. (1995). *Linguistic Semantics : An Introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lakoff, G & Mark, J. (2009). *Conceptual metaphor in everyday language*. The
- McCusker, K., & Gunaydin, S. 2015. *Research Using Qualitative, Quantitative or Mixed Methods and Choice Based on the Research*
- Murphy, M. L. and A. Koskela. (2010). *Key Terms in Semantics*. A&C Black.
- Ogden and Richards (1980: 110). *The Meaning of Meaning*. Cambridge University Press.
- Parera, J. D. 2004. *Teori Semantik*. Jakarta: Erlangga.

Referensi Makalah. (2012). *Metafora dalam Bahasa*. Diakses pada tanggal 30 April

2019. <https://www.referensimakalah.com/2012/11/metafora-dalam-bahasa.html>

Scribd. *Pengertian Teori dan Klasifikasi Metafora*. Diakses pada tanggal 30 April

2019. <https://id.scribd.com/doc/132846767/Pengertian-Teori-Dan-Klasifikasi->

[Metafora](#).

Searly .J. R (1983: 160-179), *Meaning*. New York Cambridge University Press

W. Bridgman. (1951). *The Logic of Modren*. Elsevier.Ltd

Stephen Ullmann.1972. *Semantics An Introduction to The Science of Meaning*.

Oxford Basil Blackwell.

Ullmann, S. (1972). *Semantics An Introduction to The Science of Meaning*.Oxford
Basil Blackwell.

