Universitas Katolik Santo Thomas

Repositori Unika Santo Thomas	http://eprints.ust.ac.id
Fakultas Ilmu Budaya (FIB) Program Studi Sastra Inggris	Undergraduate Papers

Sigiro, Lasma Kristina 2023

A Comparative Study Between Men and Women Language Style : a Case Study in the Tonight Show Starting Jimmy Fallon

http://eprints.ust.ac.id/id/eprint/94

Downloaded from Repositori Institusi UST, Universitas Katolik Santo Thomas

A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN LANGUAGE STYLE: A CASE STUDY IN THE TONIGHT SHOW STARRING JIMMY FALLON

A THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Cultural Sciences
Catholic University of Saint Thomas North Sumatera
In the Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

SARJANA

In English Language and Literature

By:

Lasma Kristina Sigiro

Reg. No:190210012

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT



CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF SAINT THOMAS
FACULTY OF CULTURAL SCIENCES
MEDAN

2023

A ACOMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN MEN AND WOMEN LANGUAGE STYLE: A CASE STUDY IN THE TONIGHT SHOW STARRING JIMMY FALLON

A THESIS

Submitted to the Faculty of Cultural Sciences
Catholic University of Saint Thomas North Sumatera
In the Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

SARJANA In English Language and Literature

By:

Lasma Kristina Sigiro Reg. No:190210012 CONSULTANT:

Drs. Jon Pieter Situmorang, S.S., M, Hum., Ph.D.

ENGLISH DEPARTMENT



CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF SAINT THOMAS FACULTY OF CULTURAL SCIENCES MEDAN 2023 This thesis was examined by board of examiner on August 30th, 2023

Drs. Bonar Gurning, M. Hum.

Chairman

Dra. Rosa Simamora, M. Hum.

Member

Drs. Jon Pieter. Situmorang, S.S., M, Hum., Ph.D.

Consultant

Approved by:

<u>Drs. Jon Pieter. Situmorang, S.S., M,Hum., Ph.D.</u> <u>Dean</u>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, the writer like to thank God, Jesus Christ for his marvelous and amazing grace, for the countless blessings and love so the writer has finally completed this thesis. This thesis is aimed to fulfill one of requirements for the degree of Sarjana Sastra, Faculty of Cultural Sciences Catholic University of Saint Thomas.

I would like to extend my deep gratitude to the Dean of English Department, Dra. Rosa Maria Simamora. M.Hum and all lecturers and the staff of Faculty of Letter of Catholic University of Saint Thomas North Sumatera for giving me useful knowledge during my academic years.

I want to say thanks to Dr. J. P Situmorang, the writer's Academic Counselor and Thesis Supervisors that had given her a great guidance through her Academic years and had already motivated her to finish the Thesis by giving advices, comments, and corrections this has made her at many new things in Academic.

I also want to say big thanks to My Father, Maruasi Sigiro and My Mom, Rosdiana Siregar. I have to say thanks for their love and support throughout my life. Thanks for prayers, happiness, laugh and big thanks for giving me strength to reach for the stars and chase my dreams. To my siblings Rentina Sigiro, Indah Marito Sigiro and Yehezkiel Sigiro more than amazing sisters and brother who have been there in helping, supporting and giving motivation to finish her study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDEGMENTS	i
TABLE OF CONTENT	ii
ABSTRACTS	iii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 The Problem of The Study	
1.3 Purpose of The Research	5
1.4 Limitations of The Problem	5
CHAPTER II: THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	
2.1 Languange and Society	
2.2 Languange Variation	8
2.3 Languange Style	10
2.4 Kinds of Language Style	
2.4.1 Frozen Style (Oratorical Style)	12
2.4.2 Formal Style (Deliberative Style)	13
2.4.2.1 Kind of Formal Language	13
2.4.3 Consultative Style	15
2.4.4 Casual Style	18
2.4.4.1 Vernacular Language	18
2.4.4.2 Language that shows machismo	21
2.4.5 Intimate Style	21

2.4.6 Table of Language Style	23
2.5 Function of Language Style	24
2.6 Men and Women in America	31
2.7 Why Men and Women talk in different way	33
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	41
CHAPTER IV: THE ANALYSIS	44
4.1 Frozen Language Style	45
4.2 Formal Language Style	46
4.2.1 Polite Language	47
4.3 Consultative Language Style	49
4.4 Casual Language Style	51
4.4.1 Vernacular Language	52
4.4.2 Language that show machismo	56
4.5 Intimate Language Style	58
CHAPTER V: CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	60
5.1 Conclusions	60
5.2 Suggestions	62
5.3 Transcript Data	63
REFERENCES	69
A PPFNDIY	71

ABSTRACT

Kristina, Lasma. 2023. A Comparative Study Between Men and Women Language Style: A Case Study in *The Tonight Show Starring Jimmy Fallon*. A Thesis, Cultural Sciences of Graduate Program of Catholic Saint Thomas University. Supervised by advisor Dr. Jhon Peter Situmorang, M.Hum.

The language which is used by people has so many differences. The differences itself are associated with men and women which belong to gender. There are differences in spoken language. In this study, the writer is interested in investigating the comparative of language style mostly use by men and women in *The Tonight Show Starring Jimmy Fallon*. The research problem of the study is (what kinds of language styles that are mostly used by men and women in *The Tonight Show Starring Jimmy Fallon*.

This study used qualitative approach. In analyzing the data, the writer used theories by Martin Joss (1976). There were ten consisting of five men and five women. Men and women have different words choice. Men language form is found harsher while women language form is weaker and more polite. It means that, men and women still have their own language style because of the different types and aspects. In the communication purposes, the analysis shows that both men and women users in *The Tonight Show Starrring Jimmy Fallon* mostly communicate to express feeling and opinion rather than the other communication purposes such as report fact, solving problem, and develop and maintain rapport, In short, the writer finds the language style and communication purposes between men and women in *The Tonight Show Starring Jimmy Fallon*.

The present writer suggests the future writers to find men and women differences in language style from literary works such as novel, short story, or next writers can examine. It is also suggested for the future writers to use another other the pries beside Martin Joss theory to analyze the phenomenon in sociolinguistic area especially in language and gender.

Key Words: Language Variation, Language Style, Kind of Language Style, Gender (Men and Women.

REFERENCES.

Badiah & Hidayat. (2004) A Sociolinguistics Analysis of Language Style (p. 11). Guilford. Lutieworth Press.

Brown. H. Douglass. (2002) <u>Language Assessment: Principles and Classroom</u>
Practice. California, San Fransisko State. Backwell Publishing.

Chaika E. (1982) <u>Language The Social Mirror (p. 29</u>). New York. Newbury House Publishing.

Coates, Jennifer. (1993). Women, Men and Language: A Sociolinguistic account of sex differences in Language. New York: Longman Group Ltd.

Eckert, P. (2002). <u>Language and Gender</u>. United Kingdom. Cambridge University Press.Coates, Jennifer. 1996. <u>Women Talk: Conversation between Women Friends</u> (p. 1). Oxford:Blackwell.

Fraser, N. (1990). <u>Language Style</u>. Oxon. Oxford UniversityGray, John. <u>Men are from Mars</u>, Women are from Venus: a Practical Guide for Improving Communication and Getting What You Want in a Relationship. New York: HarperCollins. (1992) Haqqo. (2016). <u>Definition of Language Style</u>. In A. I. Sociolinguistics, <u>Definition of Language Style</u> (p. 53). Backwell PublishingHolmes, J. (1992) <u>An Introduction To Sociolinguitics</u> (p. 2). London. Longman Publishing. Holmes, J. (2006) <u>Gendered Talk at Work</u>: Constructing Gender Identity Through Workplace Discourse. Virginia Acuna Ferreira.

Hudson.A (2010). Language Variation Concepts of Sociolinguistics. United Kingdom. Cambridge University

Joss, M. (1976) <u>The Five Clocks: Kind Of Language Style</u>. New York. Harcout Publishing. (153 - 155). Keraf, G. (1991) <u>An Introduction to Historical Linguistics</u> (113). New York. Terry Crowley Publishing.

Kupper. B & Zick. (2011) Inverse Gender gap in Germany: Social Dominance

Orientation Among Men and Women. Germany (p.33 - 45). International Journal of
Physocology Labov, W. (2002). Definition of Language Variation.

Lakoff. (1973). Language and Woman's Place. New York.

Llmas. (2007). The Routledge Companion to Sociolinguistics. Virginia. Routledge

Locke, J. L._. (2011) <u>Duels and Duets</u>. New York. Cambridge University Press.

Perilla. (1999). Politeness, Pichastor, R. i. (1998). Majority Versus Minority.

Wardhaugh, R. (1986). An Introduction to Sociolinguistics.

Parsons T. (1955) <u>Family, Socialization and Interaction Process</u>. Park Abingdon, Oxon. Routledge Publishing.

Nursalikah, A. (2015, December Monday). Republika Online. (A. Nursalikah, Editor) Retrieved May Tuesday, 2023, from Republika: https://internasional.republika.co.id